1	ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2 3 4	DRAFT – Minutes of June 14, 2011
5 6 7 8	ATTENDEES: Doug Kirkwood - Chairman, Wil Sullivan, Alternate, James Quinn, Alternate, Robert Rowe, Beth Davis, Alternate, Joe Taggart, and Charlie Tiedemann – Planning Director
9 10 11	The meeting was opened at 7:05 p.m. Introductions of Board members were made and an explanation of the procedures was given by Doug Kirkwood.
12 13 14	<u>Deliberations:</u> Minutes of May 17, 2011. The minutes were held to be approved at another meeting.
15 16 17	Doug Kirkwood asked that Beth Davis vote in the absence of Jamie Ramsay, and Wil Sullivan to vote for Carl Miller.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Case 1459: Lot 4-137-9 Chandler Lane: Variance – Anthony R. Joyce and Susan M. Joyce, 8 Chandler Lane, Amherst, NH 03031 (owners), request a Variance to construct a swimming pool that will be 25.8 feet more or less (side setback) and 21.8 feet more or less (rear setback) from a self imposed condition, at the time of approval of the subdivision of these lots, to conform to the existing covenants which included a thirty-five (35) foot building setback from the side and rear of the lots. Residential / Rural Zon
225 226 227 228 229 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 440 441 442 443	Anthony Joyce, property owner representing the applicants, explained they have a good size lot and intended to put in a swimming pool, but upon pulling a permit it was found there is a 35 foot setback on the lot. The pool will not fit within that setback and therefore they are requesting the Variance to bring it back to the setbacks of the Town of Amherst. Doug Kirkwood asked about the different tests and asked that they be reviewed. Wil Sullivan asked what a "self-imposed setback" is. Beth Davis also has questions on that; there was no condition on the approval. Charlie Tiedemann explained the lot before it was subdivided was part of another subdivision which had covenants. It became a self-imposed setback, which is what shows on the plan. The developer wanted to go by the covenant restrictions which were more restrictive. Beth Davis said relief is being requested but all of those lots are subjected to the more restrictive restriction. This Board could act on the relief being requested, but it does not eliminate the requirements for all the other lot owners. Charlie Tiedemann said that has been explained to the landowner. Mr. Joyce asked if a swimming pool meets the conditions of a structure. Robert Rowe said it does, it is considered a structure. Doug Kirkwood explained the approved plan shows the setbacks are 35 feet. Beth Davis disagreed stating the plan shows 20 feet. After some discussion it was agreed the setback on the plan does show 3 feet.
45 46	Jim Quinn asked Beth Davis asked what her point is. Beth Davis is not sure that the self imposed restriction with 35 foot setback rises to the level of the Zoning Ordinance. The

46

setback for which the relief is being sought is not 35 feet. Joe Taggart said the applicant does not need relief from the Amherst Zoning Ordinance because it would be within the Town Ordinance as planned. He does not see a violation of the Ordinance. Beth Davis is raising the question of whether or not this application is required to be seen by Board; the applicant needs relief from the covenants not the Ordinance. If the Planning Board approved the subdivision with the 35 foot setback, then there is a gray area – it is not the Ordinance. Robert Rowe believes that is incorrect; the zoning authority is only to the zoning laws, we can't correct the rights of other people in the subdivision. There is a small amount (of the pool) within the 20 foot setback, this Board can grant relief from that, but that is not what is requested. Beth Davis agreed the southeast corner does go into the setback.

Doug Kirkwood asked if, being more restrictive, that plan became a matter of public record under the jurisdiction of the town. Robert Rowe suggested the more restrictive covenant does not make it a requirement of the Zoning Board. Wil Sullivan suggested the Ordinance authorizes the ZBA authority. Joe Taggart also agreed it is gray and would become a public issue with those covenants on the plan for the subdivision. Beth Davis questions if this Board and not the Planning Board would have the authority – this was exchanged for the increased restriction. Robert Rowe suggested moving forward since a small section goes into the 20 foot setback and talk about granting a Variance for that. Wil Sullivan asked what is inside the 20 foot setback. Doug Kirkwood asked if this Board should hear the case at all.

Doug Kirkwood asked what board would have the ability to modify a plan approved by the Town. It's either the BOS or ZBA. Jim Quinn asked if the other homeowners would need to sign off to grant relief. Mr. Joyce noted that when the permit was pulled was when this issue came up. Doug Kirkwood read from the Ordinance. Joe Taggart asked about a Variance with conditions, if the conditions are not upheld, what would that person be in violation with? Doug Kirkwood responded the conditions would be under the rules they must operate by and become law of the Town. Robert Rowe noted that the other 14 owners might also be in violation of the covenants. Mr. Joyce said they did not know. Robert Rowe would only feel comfortable if Charlie Tiedemann turned down the Building Permit and the applicant came in for an appeal of his decision. Beth Davis said that is what happened. Charlie Tiedemann noted the plan clearly shows a 35 foot setback and suggested that there are three lawyers here that don't agree and to send this to Town Counsel for review. Beth Davis thinks the permit is able to be issued.

Erol Duymazlar, member of the audience, said he was involved in the original development of that property and it was part of a prior subdivision that the developer did not want to violate. They kept the 35 foot setback and complied with the Planning Board's regulations. Beth Davis suggested it was more self imposed; it was not that the Planning Board said it had to be done as a condition. Mr. Duymazlar said it was imposed on the developer when the property was purchased for development. Doug Kirkwood asked if the ZBA wanted to proceed and treat this as a Variance, to decide there is no restriction or treat it as an Administrative Appeal in which case it may need to be re-

noticed. Robert Rowe said he would only be comfortable if there was a motion that the ZBA has authority on the private covenants.

Wil Sullivan moved to hear the applicant who has stated the structure will be in the setback. There was no second, therefore the motion failed.

Mr. Joyce said it does not have to be in the 20 foot setback, it could be altered to be within the setback. Charlie Tiedemann suggested if a pool has sidewalks around it, the sidewalks (apron) are not counted, just look at the structure (pool) which is 21.8 feet. Take the 35 foot setback as presented and whether or not it is erroneous, and then make the decision and Charlie Tiedemann will deal with it. Beth Davis said if it is approved by the ZBA, he will issue a permit. Beth Davis stated the applicant does not need relief from the Ordinance. If a building permit is denied, he can use the Administrative Appeal for the decision of the zoning administrator for denial. The ZBA would have to act on that.

Charlie Tiedemann said the applicant would like to use the pool this summer and the process won't be done in time for that. Wil Sullivan said the plan states the private setback covenants and what they are. Doug Kirkwood indicated the ZBA just needs the information that the covenants exist and are recorded. Beth Davis responded that the covenants do not say 35 feet, they say in accordance with the "town requirements." Mr. Duymazlar responded it is on the original Cricket Hill covenants that the subdivision adopted. Joe Taggart asked if the ZBA can rule on it with a condition that the ZBA determines the case and if not it is without prejudice.

Robert Rowe feels he has no authority for this and will not sit on this case. The only solution is to ask for a permit tomorrow. Mr. Joyce has a permit, but will Charlie Tiedemann sign it? Charlie Tiedemann said he will not sign it unless this Board does something. Robert Rowe recommended Charlie Tiedemann to contact Town Counsel Bill Drescher. Charlie Tiedemann responded that advice from Mr. Drescher can take from 3 to 6 months. Beth Davis said Charlie Tiedemann does not agree with the 3 attorneys on the ZBA.

Robert Rowe moved to table this to the next ZBA meeting to give the applicant time to seek the permit from Charlie Tiedemann who will notify Town Counsel which Charlie Tiedemann stated will take between 3-6 months. There was no second, therefore the motion failed. Robert Rowe withdrew his motion.

Doug Kirkwood said he will call Bill Drescher and convey the information to Charlie Tiedemann. Mr. Joyce said he will put the pool 19 feet from the setback. Joe Taggart indicated that the plan says 21+/- feet so he feels it will be within the envelope.

Doug Kirkwood indicated the ZBA can proceed treating this as a Variance or the applicant must file for an Appeal of the Administrative Decision. Robert Rowe has no problem hearing it if it is within the 20 feet, but the applicant will still need to wait 30 days for the building permit. If the Administrative Appeal route is taken, the 30 days will

- 138 still need to be waited for, another application must be filed and the hearing will move
- 139 into September. Charlie Tiedemann said it could be put on the third Tuesday in July.
- 140 Mr. Joyce would like the quickest route, it is a gray area, if the pool needs to move into
- 141 the 20 foot setback for the ZBA to have jurisdiction, and he will do that. He would like
- to do this as fast as possible. Doug Kirkwood understands the applicant's situation, but 142
- 143 this Board makes an effort to be pragmatic. Robert Rowe suggested hearing this as a
- 144 Variance and still calling Bill Drescher tomorrow to get his opinion. Doug Kirkwood
- 145 noted this was posted differently (which is not what was on the plan and posted) it has
- 146 changed after posting. Joe Taggart asked if the applicant is able to make minor changes
- 147 to the plan. Doug Kirkwood said this Board has to rule with what is posted. Joe Taggart
- 148 agreed, but if a minor change is made, is that acceptable?

149

150 Mr. Joyce asked if the Variance can move forward.

151

152 Joe Taggart moved to hear the case encroaching on 35 foot setback conditioned upon 153 determination of whether or not this board has the authority to do so.

154

- 155 Robert Rowe asked if a statement could be made that the Zoning Board finds it does not
- 156 have any authority to grant Variances from private covenants only from specific Zoning
- 157 Ordinances, that way the applicant can go in for a building permit. Beth Davis asked if
- 158 there is a reason why Charlie Tiedemann is hesitant on the building permit. Charlie
- 159 Tiedemann said it is a unique situation, it is a 35 foot setback and it is clearly shown on
- 160 the plan and if an attorney says different (hired by the Town) he will change his mind.
- 161 Beth Davis asked what Charlie Tiedemann is worried about? Charlie Tiedemann
- 162 responded violating the setback. Robert Rowe said the setback on the plan is part of the
- 163 Ordinance a condition of approval of the subdivision. Charlie Tiedemann noted the 35
- foot setback is on the plan, that is what he must go by. Joe Taggart agreed with Robert 164
- 165 Rowe that the ZBA can't beat up on Charlie Tiedemann. Robert Rowe suggested this be
- 166 tabled and Doug Kirkwood makes a call to Bill Drescher, however unfortunate for the 167 applicant.

168

169 Mr. Joyce asked if a Variance would release Charlie Tiedemann's responsibility. Charlie 170 Tiedemann responded absolutely, the applicant would be in business tomorrow with that.

171 172

There was no second to the motion therefore it failed.

173 174

Robert Rowe moved to table this matter until next month. Joe Taggart seconded. All were in favor except Joe Taggart who abstained. Motion passed.

176 177

175

- 178 Case 1460: Lot 2-169-3 Boston Post Road: Special Exception – Ducal Development,
- 179 LLC, 2 Sky Meadow Drive, Nashua, NH 03062 (owner), requests a Special Exception
- 180 from the provisions of the Amherst Zoning Ordinance Art. V, Sec. 5.4, Para. 5.4.4
- 181 Accessory Apartment. In order that they may construct an Accessory Apartment in
- accordance with the Zoning Ordinance. Residential / Rural Zone (Aquifer Conservation 182
- 183 District overlay)

184 185 Ken Clinton, LLS, from Meridian Land Services and representing the applicant, 186 presented the application for an Accessory Apartment on this lot, the lot is 2-169-3, a 2.7 187 acre vacant lot. 188 189 Ken Clinton proceeded through the questions: 190 191 Lot conformance – approved by the Planning Board last fall, one house to remain on the 192 lot, this is the most northwestern lot in the subdivision. Common driveway, approved by 193 Planning Board, met with DPW and found it to be in compliance and safe. The applicant 194 may want to separate the driveways at some point and would go back to the Planning 195 Board for that. No adverse impacts to the town, the use is consistent; a septic design was 196 submitted for a 4-bedroom house and has no adverse impact. A kitchenette for an 197 accessory apartment is in the plan. Objectionable to nearby properties, it will meet current building codes, this will be residential. The Special Exception is for an 198 199 Accessory Apartment, the plan shows the requirements. This is within the Aquifer 200 Conservation District but will not affect he groundwater and will meet the Zoning 201 Ordinance. 202 203 Doug Kirkwood asked if the septic approval went through the state. Ken Clinton 204 responded it goes through Charlie Tiedemann first then the State. The apartment is under 205 800 sf (exterior measurement). Doug Kirkwood asked for questions from the public, 206 there were none. 207 208 **DELIBERATIONS:** 209 210 Wil Sullivan moved to enter deliberations. Robert Rowe seconded. All were in favor. 211 212 Case 1460: 213 214 There was no general discussion. 215 216 Robert Rowe moved no regional impact. Beth Davis seconded. All were in favor. 217 218 Tests: 219 II. Conclusions (RSA 674:33): 220 221 The use is allowed in the Zoning Ordinance? BD yes, JT yes, WS yes, RR yes, DK yes 222 True: 5, Not True: 0 223 224 That the property is in conformance with the dimensional requirements of the zone and the 225 use is compatible with the Amherst Master Plan? RR yes, WS yes, JT yes, BD yes, DK yes 226 True: 5, Not True: 0 227 228 There is safe vehicular and pedestrian access? WS yes, RR yes, BD yes, JT yes, DK 3. 229

True: 5, Not True: 0

yes

230

231 There will be no significant adverse impacts from the proposed use on safety and general 232 welfare of the neighborhood and Town? JT yes, BD yes, RR yes, WS yes, DK yes 233 True: 5, Not True: 0 234 235 The use will not be more objectionable to nearby properties by reason of noise, fumes, or 236 inappropriate lighting than existing use? BD yes, RR yes, WS yes, JT yes, DK yes 237 True: 5, Not True: 0 238 239 The plan submitted by applicant / owner accurately depicts the use? RR yes, JT yes, WS 240 yes, BD yes, DK yes 241 True: 5, Not True: 0 242 243 The use will not affect the ground water? WS yes, RR yes, BD yes, JT yes, DK yes 244 True: 5, Not True: 0 245 246 247 The applicant passed on the tests, therefore the Special Exception is approved. 248 249 Robert Rowe moved to come out of deliberations. Beth Davis seconded. All were in 250 favor. 251 252 253 1. Election of Officers of the Zoning Board of Adjustment **New Business:** 254 2. Discussion of appointment of alternates to the ZBA 255 256 Robert Rowe indicated one case took 1.5 hours tonight and nothing was done and the 257 second one took a half hour and it was approved. 258 259 Doug Kirkwood noted the ZBA is missing two regular members tonight, so we have to 260 postpone the election of officers which pushes it into August. Joe Taggart has been 261 sworn in. Only three regular members present tonight. 262 263 Traditionally, Charlie Tiedemann said the Board waits for five full members for 264 elections. All agreed. 265 266 Robert Rowe moved to adjourn at 9:50 p.m. Joe Taggart seconded. All were in favor. 267 Motion passed. 268 269 Respectfully submitted, 270 271 272 273 Darlene J. Bouffard 274 Recording Secretary 275